ĺ	Case 2:01-cr-00101-RSL	Document 1140	Filed 12/08/05	Page 1 of 3	
01					
02					
03					
04					
05					
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
07					
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, ) CA	SE NO. CR01-101- F	RSL	
09	Plaintiff,	)			
10	V.	,	) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.		
11	JOHN CARLTON PETRUS,	) AL	GISTRATE JUDGE LEGED VIOLATION	ΓIONS	
12	Defendant.	) OF )	) OF SUPERVISED RELEASE )		
13		)			
14	An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before				
15	me on December 8, 2005. The United States was represented by AUSA Ye-Ting Woo and the				
16	defendant by Jeffrey Smith. The proceedings were recorded on cassette tape.				
17	Defendant had been sentenced on or about February 1, 2002 by the Honorable Robert S.				
18	Lasnik on a charge of Conspiracy to Manufacture 50 Grams or More of Methamphetamine and				
19	sentenced to 60 Months Custody, 5 years Supervised Release. (Dkt. 603).				
20	The conditions of supervised release included requirements that defendant comply with all				
21	local, state, and federal laws and with the standard conditions of supervision. Other special				
22	conditions included no firearms, mandatory drug testing, narcotic addiction or drug dependency				
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -1				

treatment and testing, refrain from alcohol and other intoxicants, submit to search, and provide access to financial information.

On September 27, 2005, the conditions of supervised release were modified to require participation in a home confinement program with electronic monitoring for up to 60 days. (Dkt. 1126).

In an application dated October 27, 2005, U.S. Probation Officer Brian Facklam alleged the following violation of the conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Committing the law violation of driving under the influence on September 12, 2005 in violation of the general condition that he not commit another federal, state or local crime.
- 2. Failing to report police contact within 72 hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer on September 12, 2005 in violation of standard condition 11.
- 3. Failing to appear for drug testing on September 26, October 7, and October 26, 2005 in violation of special condition 3 which orders that he participate in drug testing if ordered to do so.

Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

Defendant admitted each of the alleged violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether they occurred.

I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be set before Judge Lasnik.

11///

03

05

06

07

08

09

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22 1 / /

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3

22